

ACF's Election Scorecard

Which parties and candidates have committed to:

	Save nature	Champion renewables	Reject nuclear	No new coal and gas
AUSTRALIAN LABOR PARTY	✗	✓	✓	✗
GREENS	✓	✓	✓	✓
LIBERAL & NATIONAL COALITION	✗	✗	✗	✗
ALLEGRA SPENDER	✓	✓	✓	✓
ANDREW GEE	✗	✗	✗	✗
ANDREW WILKIE	✓	✓	✓	✓
BOB KATTER	✗	✗	✗	✗
CAZ HEISE	✓	✓	✓	✓
DAI LE	✗	✗	✗	✗
DEB LEONARD	✓	✓	—	✓
HELEN HAINES	✓	✓	✓	✓
JESS NESS	✓	✓	✓	✓
KATE CHANEY	✓	✓	✓	—
KATE DEZARNAULDS	✓	✓	✓	—
MONIQUE RYAN	✓	✓	✓	✓
NICOLETTE BOELE	✓	✓	✓	✓
REBEKHA SHARKIE	✓	—	✗	—
RUSSELL BROADBENT	✗	✗	✗	✗
SOPHIE SCAMPS	✓	✓	—	✓
ZALI STEGGALL	✓	✓	✓	✓
ZOE DANIEL	✓	✓	✓	✓

✓ Supports ✗ Opposes — Partially supports

What is ACF's election scorecard?

The Australian Conservation Foundation's election scorecard is designed to help voters understand how well the climate and nature policies of political parties and candidates align with ACF's [national agenda](#).

We have assessed the main parties (Labor, Liberal/National Coalition, Greens), incumbent independent MPs in the House of Representatives and independent candidates in electorates with high numbers of ACF supporters.

What it's not

ACF's scorecard is not an endorsement of any party or candidate. It's an issue-based assessment that shows how closely parties and candidates align with our policy agenda for protecting nature and acting on climate.

Methodology

1. Survey – we asked parties and candidates if they supported each of the 16 outcomes in ACF's national agenda.
2. Research – we analysed the parties and candidates' survey responses, public policies, voting records and statements and measured them against all 54 tests of success in ACF's national agenda.

In instances where candidates/parties have not responded to the survey ACF has assessed their public statements and policies alone.

How we determined the final scores

ACF's [national agenda](#) outlines four key policy goals, 16 outcomes needed to achieve those goals, and 54 detailed tests of success.

Each outcome in ACF's national agenda is worth 3 to 5 points (based on ACF's priorities) on the scorecard. Points for outcomes are split across the relevant tests of success.

We awarded full, partial or no points, based on evidence from the surveys and our research.

We shared an interim score with candidates and parties to give them a chance to provide further information or context before we determined a final score.

Final scores were converted to:  Supports (>70%)  Partially supports (50-70%) or  Opposes (<50%)

To learn more about ACF or our scorecard

visit [acf.org.au/scorecard](https://www.acf.org.au/scorecard)



AUSTRALIAN
CONSERVATION
FOUNDATION

Nature
needs us,
now